



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,601	01/15/2002	Richard W. Cline	XILL118505	4647
26389 7	2590 05/18/2004		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			ROANE, AARON F	
1420 FIFTH A	VENUE	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, W	'A 98101-2347		3739	*
ŕ			DATE MAILED: 05/19/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/050,601	CLINE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aaron Roane	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortices to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
20/						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-40,43 and 53-58 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 41,42,44-48 and 51 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 49,50 and 52-54 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	/are withdrawn from consideratio	n.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 C FR 1.8 5(a). ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d). TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	I Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/1/04,10/20/03.	_ 🗆	Patent Application (P1	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species #33, drawn to claims 41, 42 and 44-54 in Paper dated 2/26/2004 is acknowledged.

However, the examiner believes claims 41, 42 and 44-54 are drawn to Species #34, characterized by figures 1B, 2A, 7A, 7B and 5C, where figure 1B shows the multi-mode camera outside of the endoscope body (claim 42). Therefore, the examiner will treat the election as an election of without traverse of Species #34 drawn to claims 41, 42 and 44-54. Claims 41, 42 and 44-54 will be examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Hayashi (USPN 6,070,096).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,601

Art Unit: 3739

Regarding claim 41, Hayashi discloses an apparatus comprising a multi-mode light source (110), an endoscope (100), a high sensitivity color image sensor (low light color image sensor) covered with a color mosaic filter, an excitation light sharp cut filter (302) placed in front of the high sensitivity color image sensor, one or more optical imaging components (301) that projects images onto the high sensitivity color image sensor, an image processor/controller (310) that receives image signals from the low light color image sensor and combines and interpolates image signals from pixels having filters with the same integrated filter characteristics to fluorescence or reflectance light and then encodes the images as video signals; and a color video monitor (160) for displaying superimposed video images from the pixels of the high sensitivity color image sensor, see col. 29, line 8 through col. 32, line 3 and figure 6. It should be noted that the high sensitivity color image sensor is interpreted as to be the same as the low light color image sensor. Hayashi fails disclose that the filter placed in front of the high sensitivity color image sensor filters (blocks or absorbs) light with a wavelength of 470 nm or below while transmitting light with a wavelength of 470 nm or greater. It is very well known in the art to provide the filter in front of the ccd imaging device/sensor with various filtering characteristics including a wavelength cutoff below which the transmission is negligible or blocked and above which transmission is significant. Additionally, these cutoff wavelengths depend a great deal on what dye or fluorescence drug is used in the procedure and particular excitation light source used in the procedure. The dye/drug and the light source are chosen together such that the (characteristic or operating) wavelength of the excitation light source excites the molecules of the dye/drug such that fluorescence Application/Control Number: 10/050,601

Art Unit: 3739

occurs within the target tissue. Furthermore, it should be noted in an alternate embodiment Hayashi discloses a filter (142) that selectively block/absorbs light at the wavelength of approximately 470 nm, see figures 6-8B and col. 22, line 58 through col. 23, line 6. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Hayashi, as is well known in the art and shown by Hayashi himself, to provide the device with a filter placed in front of the high sensitivity color image sensor filters (blocks or absorbs) light with a wavelength of 470 nm or below while transmitting light with a wavelength of 470 nm or greater in order to distinguish between the extrinsic and intrinsic fluorescence image.

Regarding claim 42, Hayashi discloses a device with the camera attached to the proximal portion such that the camera remains outside the body, see figure 6.

Claims 44-48 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi (USPN 6,070,096) as applied to claim 42 above, and further in view of Renault (USPN 4,449,535) and in further view of Suzuki (USPN 6,028,622).

Regarding claims 44 and 51, Hayashi further discloses that an optical filter (112) is placed in the light path of the light source, see col. 24, lines 22-41 and figure 13. However, Hayashi fails to disclose what wavelengths the optical filter blocks/absorbs and what wavelengths the optical filter transmits. It is well known in the art that a mercury vapor lamp provides excitation light with two different wavelengths, a lower wavelength

Art Unit: 3739

excitation component at 366 nm and a higher wavelength component at 720 nm which causes fluorescence at 440 nm to 480 nm as shown by Renault col. 1, lines 11-23. Suzuki discloses an endoscopic fluorescence apparatus and teaches provided not one but two light path filters that provide a cutoff wavelength and transmit wavelengths below the cutoff between 440 nm and 460 nm and below the fluorescence range in order to irradiate the target tissue with excitation light, see col. 1 and 2 and figure 2. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Hayashi, as in well known in the art and shown by Renault to note the that the mercury vapor lamp (111 disclosed by Hayashi) provides excitation light with two different wavelengths, a lower wavelength excitation component at 366 nm and a higher wavelength component at 720 nm which causes fluorescence at 440 nm to 480 nm, and as further taught by Suzuki, to provide two light path filters that provide a cutoff wavelength and transmit wavelengths below the cutoff between 440 nm and 460 nm and below the fluorescence range in order to irradiate the target tissue with excitation light.

Regarding claim 45, Hayashi in view of Renault and in further view of Suzuki disclose the claimed invention of green fluorescence light passing through the filter in front of the high sensitivity color image sensor.

Regarding claim 46, Hayashi in view of Renault and in further view of Suzuki disclose the claimed invention. Although Hayashi in view of Renault and in further view of Suzuki are silent as to whether or not red light is transmitted by the filter in front of the

high sensitivity color image sensor, Hayashi discloses a mosaic filter covering the high sensitivity color image sensor, and therefore the filter in front of the high sensitivity color image sensor must be capable of transmitting red light.

Regarding claim 47, Hayashi in view of Renault and in further view of Suzuki disclose the claimed invention, since it has be disclosed that the mercury vapor lamp emits light with two different wavelengths, a lower wavelength excitation component at 366 nm and a higher wavelength component at 720 nm which causes fluorescence at 440 nm to 480 nm, where the red light is in the wavelength range of 590 nm to 750 nm.

Regarding claim 48, Hayashi in view of Renault and in further view of Suzuki disclose the claimed invention of a superimposed image of green fluorescence light and red reflectance light, see col. 29, line 40 through col. 31, line 42.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 49, 50 and 52-54 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Roane whose telephone number is (703) 305-7377. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5pm, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.R. A. K. May 12, 2004

oohn P. Leubecker Primary Examiner Page 7